

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

United States of America

-vs-

Case No. 2:12-cr-181 (3)

**Chad A. Goggans**

On the second superseding  
indictment

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY

The Defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, F.R.Cr.P. and has entered a plea of guilty to Counts five (5) and ten (10) of the Indictment. After examining the Defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determine that the defendant is competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that the guilty plea is knowingly and voluntary made and that the offenses charged are supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of such offense.

I therefore RECOMMEND the plea agreement and the plea of guilty should be accepted and the Defendant should be adjudged guilty and sentenced accordingly. Otherwise, the plea agreement should be rejected and the defendant should be allowed to withdraw the guilty plea.

The Defendant remains in the custody of the U.S. Marshal pending sentencing.

Date: June 14, 2013

/s/ **Terence P. Kemp**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**NOTICE**

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. Section 636(b)(1)(B).